## **EXHIBIT 2**

```
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
 2
                     FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH
 3
 4
      PETTER INVESTMENTS, INC., )
      D/b/a RIVEER, a Michigan
 5
      corporation,
 6
           Plaintiff,
 7
                                     Civil No. 2:14-cv-00045-BCW
      vs.
 8
      HYDRO ENGINEERING, INC.,
      a Utah corporation, and
 9
      CALIFORNIA CLEANING
      SYSTEMS, a California
10
      company,
11
           Defendants.
12
13
      AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.)
14
15
16
                    DEPOSITION OF ALAN McCORMICK,
17
18
             taken at 222 South Main Street, Suite 2200,
19
            Salt Lake City, Utah 84101, commencing at
20
             9:04 a.m., on Thursday, April 24, 2014,
21
            before Ann Fleming, RPR Notary Public in
22
            and for the State of Utah.
23
24
25
                                                                     2
```

```
(By Mr. Lobbin) Exhibit 10 is some
 1
             Q.
 2
      excerpts from Hydro's website at various points in
 3
      time. Do you see going to the second to the last page
 4
      of this?
 5
                   MR. MILLER: I'll object to foundation,
 6
      and go ahead.
 7
             Q.
                   (By Mr. Lobbin) Page number RIV001114; do
 8
      you see that?
 9
            Α.
                   I do.
10
                   Is this a depiction of the Hydropad as it
            0.
11
      was designed in the 1998 time frame?
12
            Α.
                   Yes.
13
                   So I think we talked about, just trying to
             Ο.
14
      get it straight in my head, talking about some early
15
      containment pads, for example, the Larsen
16
      implementation. That was not this design, correct?
17
                   It was not.
             Α.
18
                   And then at some point the Hydropad was
             Ο.
19
      designed and this particular design that we're looking
20
      at was utilized until the early 2000s, correct?
21
                   Yes.
            Α.
22
                   When the impervious top was designed,
             Q.
23
      correct?
24
             Α.
                   Yes.
25
             Q.
                   And so I believe in this design the
                                                                  164
```

vehicle here is sitting on top of the steel sections 1 2 going across and that's what would be considered a 3 grate, correct? 4 Α. Yeah, okay. And then the water used to wash would fall 5 Ο. into and down through the spaces between the grating 6 into a pan that's inside that the Hydropad and would be 7 collected there, correct? 8 9 Yeah, the pan is all the way on the floor of these channels. 10 11 Ο. And there were no side troughs in this 12 design? 13 Α. No. 14 Ο. Sticking with that same image on RIV1114, 15 the pan inside you said is basically at the floor, 16 correct? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Ο. If we took that pan -- now, is that pan a flat continuous piece of steel? 19 20 Piece of sheet metal, yes. 21 And it's flat, correct? If that piece of Q. 22 sheet metal was brought up and attached to the 23 underside of the grate and so that the water didn't 24 flow down through the grate with you, but it flowed 25 into the interstices between the grating onto that

165

1 piece of sheet metal that was now attached to the 2 underside of that grating, and the pad was tilted, 3 logic would say the water would flow toward the low end 4 of the pad, correct? 5 MR. MILLER: Objection to the form. Q. (By Mr. Lobbin) In that hypothetical? 6 MR. MILLER: Objection to the form. 7 Objection to the extent it calls for legal conclusions 8 9 with regard to claim terms, and hypothetical. 10 ahead. 11 THE WITNESS: Well, like if you turn this 12 pad upside down where the floor was welded to it and 13 put all these on top of that and then turned it on its edge and poured water on it, it would run right off the 14 15 pad, you're right. 16 (By Mr. Lobbin) And then if you were so Ο. 17 inclined, you could devise and attach some sort of side 18 gutter at the low end where the water was flowing off 19 and you would have a side gutter, correct? 20 Yeah, you would have to run the length of 21 whichever way this was tilted. 22 Q. So all I've done is I've brought that piece of sheet metal up, slapped it on the underside of 23 24 that grating, attached it, tilted the pad and attached

the side trough. Do you understand the hypothetical

25

```
1
      that I'm imagining?
 2
                  MR. MILLER:
                                Same objection.
 3
                   THE WITNESS: Not really understanding
 4
      where you're going, but I understand if I had these
      rails mounted on a solid surface, nothing would go
 5
 6
      through that solid surface.
 7
                  Correct. And if you tilted it, the water
            Q.
      would flow off?
 8
                   (Witness nods head.)
 9
            Α.
10
                  It would still be a grate, correct, it
            O.
      would just now have a tray attached to the other side
11
12
      of the grate?
13
                  MR. MILLER: Same objection.
14
                   THE WITNESS: I don't believe it would at
15
      that point be a grate because I don't think -- it would
16
      not be detachable. It's not a grate that you can
17
      remove. Why would you want to remove it? It's just
18
      the top.
                   (By Mr. Lobbin) Nothing's changed about
19
            Ο.
20
      these pieces of steel going, these cross beams, if you
21
      will?
22
                   I wouldn't do that, these cross -- with
            Α.
23
      these, we had entry points into this box so that you
24
      could get in and clean it out, wouldn't need that
25
      anymore, so those would all go away. You would be able
                                                                 167
```

```
1
      to weld these directly on top of that top plate, why
 2
      would you not do that? Are we in a design meeting
 3
      here? I'm not understanding where we're going with
 4
      this, I guess.
 5
            Ο.
                  Okay. It's quite simple. We established
      that in the Hydropad design we're looking at we have a
 6
 7
      grate upon which the vehicle sits, the water flows down
      and through the interstices between the grate into a
 8
 9
      pan that's located at the bottom.
10
                  And I'm asking you a very simple question,
11
      that if we brought it up, we brought that piece of pan
12
      up to the underside of that grate, it would still be a
13
      grate, right, that hasn't changed; isn't that correct?
14
                  MR. MILLER: Same objections plus asked
15
      and answered.
                  THE WITNESS: All right.
16
17
            O.
                  (By Mr. Lobbin) We'd still call it a
18
      grate?
19
                  Yeah, and instead of collecting the water
20
      in the pan, it would run off onto the ground or into a
21
      gutter if you put a gutter on there, like our patent.
22
            Q.
                  Like your patent.
23
            A.
                  Correct.
24
            Q.
                   If you turn to this page, it's about three
25
      pages into this exhibit. I just want to make sure I'm
                                                                 168
```

